

# City of Racine, Wisconsin Common Council

## AGENDA BRIEFING MEMORANDUM

COMMITTEE: Finance and Personnel	LEGISLATION ITEM #: 1040-24
AGENDA DATE: November 25, 2024	
DEPARTMENT: City Attorney's Office	
Prepared By: Deputy City Attorney Marisa	Roubik
SUBJECT: Communication sponsored by Alder Hohe claim of Mark Veenstra for consideration for di	orton on behalf of the City Attorney's Office submitting isallowance.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

Claimant Mark Veenstra filed a claim with the City requesting an unspecified amount of damages related to a sewer line disconnection at his property located at 816 Prospect Street in Racine, which was allegedly caused when a repair to the water line was purportedly made in 2016. Due to defects in the claim as filed and because there is no evidence that the City of Racine or the Racine Water Utility caused the alleged damage to the claimant's sewer line, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that this claim be disallowed.

20 \_

### **BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:**

Claimant Mark Veenstra, of 1909 2nd Place, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53140, filed a claim with the City on August 20, 2024, requesting an unspecified amount of damages related to a sewer line disconnection at his property located at 816 Prospect Street in Racine, which was allegedly caused when a repair to the water line was purportedly made in 2016. Due to defects in the claim as filed and because there is no evidence that the City or the Water Utility caused the alleged damage to the claimant's sewer line, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that this claim be disallowed.

The City cannot be held liable for this claim due to defects in the claim as filed. This claim did not include an itemized statement of the relief sought, as required by Wis. Stat. § 893.80(1d)(b). Furthermore, the notice of circumstances that the claimant filed with the City on or about August 20, 2024 was filed untimely. Per Wis. Stat. § 893.80(1d)(a), a claimant must file a

notice of the circumstances of a claim within 120 days of the happening of the event giving rise to the claim. The event giving rise to this claim (a water line repair that purportedly disconnected the sewer line) is alleged to have occurred on or about 2016; therefore, the notice of circumstances for this claim would need to have been filed back in 2016 or, possibly, 2017, in order to be timely filed, which it was not. As such, this claim is not actionable under the law.

Moreover, the City and the Water Utility searched their records and were unable to find any evidence that a water line repair was performed at or around this property in 2016. As such, there is no corroborating evidence that the City or the Water Utility performed the alleged water line repair in 2016, which is claimed to have resulted in the claimant's disconnected sewer line. Therefore, the City has no reason to believe that it is liable for the alleged damages.

For the reasons stated above, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that this claim be disallowed.

#### **BUDGETARY IMPACT:**

Assuming the recommendation to disallow this claim is adopted, this item would have a \$0.00 impact on the City's budget.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

That the disallowance of this claim be recommended for approval.