	RACIN	ON THE LAKE			
City of Racine, Wisconsin Common Council AGENDA BRIEFING MEMORANDUM					
			COMMITTEE:	Finance and Personnel	LEGISLATION ITEM #: 0989-21
			AGENDA DATE: Ja	inuary 10, 2022	
DEPARTMENT:	City Attorney's Office				
Prepared By:	Deputy City Attorney M	larisa L. Roubik			
	ication sponsored by Alder Anderson for consideration f	Taft on behalf of the City Attorney's Office submitting for disallowance.			
EXECUTIVE SUM	MARY:				
sustained after she all about August 9, 2019 denied because she fai from liability for dan notice of such a defec	egedly stepped into a potholo D. The City Attorney's Officient tiled to use ordinary care while mages caused by alleged deficient. As such, it is the recomm	City requesting \$50,000.00 in damages for an injury she e in the parking lot of the King Community Center on or ce recommends that the claim of Tina M. Anderson be le walking, and, pursuant to state law, the City is immune tects in a public parking lot when the City had no prior nendation of the City Attorney's Office that the Finance is claim to the Common Council.			
BACKGROUND &	ANALYSIS:				
\$50,000.00 for damag King Community Cer	ges she sustained after she a	n, filed this claim for reimbursement in the amount of llegedly stepped into a pothole in the parking lot of the 019 at 9:00 PM (four hours after the Center had closed es alleged in this claim.			
defects when it was a because Wisconsin St	mended in 2012. By law, t atute section 893.80 confers	ated municipal liability for publicly-owned parking lot he City is not liable for the claimant's alleged damages broad immunity from suits on municipalities for acts that he repair of potholes and other highway defects for which			

31 the City has no prior notice. In this instance, the City did not have notice of a parking lot defect at the 32 subject location prior to the date of this incident in 2019.

Moreover, all pedestrians have a duty to use ordinary care when walking and to look out for potential hazards in plain sight, such as potholes in a parking lot. If a pedestrian fails to keep a proper lookout for such potential hazards in their plain sight, the pedestrian is negligent.

In sum, the City is not legally liable for the alleged damages because the City is immune from liability for damages caused by sidewalk defects pursuant to Wisconsin Statute section 893.83, and, if Ms. Anderson's allegations were taken as true, they would indicate negligence on her part. As such, the City Attorney's Office recommends that this Committee recommend denial of the claim of Tina M. Anderson to the Common Council.

41

42 **BUDGETARY IMPACT:**

Assuming the recommendation to deny this claim is adopted, this item would have a \$0.00 impacton the City's budget.

45

46 **OPTIONS/ALTERNATIVES:**

47 If the recommendation to deny this claim is rejected, and the Committee recommends that this
48 claim be paid by the City (contrary to any indication of the City's liability for the alleged damages), this
49 item would have up to a \$50,000.00 impact on the City's 2022 claims budget.

50

51 **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

52 The City Attorney's Office respectfully recommends that this Committee recommend denial of the53 claim of Tina M. Anderson to the Common Council.

54

55 ATTACHMENT(S):

56