



1 **City of Racine, Wisconsin**  
2 **Common Council**

3 **AGENDA BRIEFING MEMORANDUM**

4 **COMMITTEE:** Finance and Personnel      **LEGISLATION ITEM #:** 1044-24

---

5 **AGENDA DATE:** November 25, 2024

---

6  
7 **DEPARTMENT:** City Attorney’s Office

8      **Prepared By:** Deputy City Attorney Marisa L. Roubik

---

9  
10 **SUBJECT:** Communication sponsored by Alder Horton on behalf of the City Attorney’s Office submitting  
11 the claim of Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc., on behalf of their insured, Cintas Corporation,  
12 for consideration for disallowance.

---

13  
14 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

15      Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc., on behalf of its insured, Cintas Corporation claims  
16 reimbursement for \$10,429.95 in damages allegedly arising from an accident involving a City of Racine  
17 Department of Public Works (“DPW”) vehicle striking Cintas’s illegally parked vehicle in or around 800  
18 South Marquette Street in Racine, on or about May 1, 2024. The City disputes the damages claimed and  
19 its liability therefor. As such, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney’s Office that this claim be  
20 disallowed.

---

21  
22 **BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:**

23      Sedgwick Claims Management Services, Inc., of P.O. Box 14670, Lexington, Kentucky 40512-  
24 4670, on behalf of its insured, Cintas Corporation, or 9828 South Oakwood Park Driver, Franklin,  
25 Wisconsin 53132, claims reimbursement for \$10,429.95 in damages allegedly arising from an accident  
26 involving a City of Racine Department of Public Works vehicle striking Cintas’s illegally parked vehicle  
27 in or around 800 South Marquette Street in Racine, on or about May 1, 2024.

28      The DPW vehicle was reversing out of the DPW’s garage when it allegedly struck a Cintas van  
29 that was illegally parked on the north side of Marquette Street. But for the claimant’s vehicle being parked

30 illegally, this accident would not have occurred. Therefore, the City disputes the damages claimed and its  
31 liability therefor.

32 As such, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that this claim be disallowed.

33

---

34 **BUDGETARY IMPACT:**

35 Assuming the recommendation to disallow this claim is adopted, this item would have a \$0.00  
36 impact on the City's budget.

37

---

38 **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

39 That the disallowance of this claim be recommended for approval.

40

---