

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING #399  
HELD BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS AND SERVICES  
April 24, 2018

STREETS	FOR	AGAINST	REL. RESOL. RESULT OF	TOTAL	PROJ. EST. ASSESS AMT	CITY GOB COST
1 <b>DeKoven Ave</b> - Holburn St. to Clark St. PCCP PCI - 21 Properties - 2	0.00%	49.20%		\$109,920.00	\$73,284.00	\$36,636.00
	0 Spoke	1 Spoke				
2 <b>DeKoven Ave</b> - Holburn St. to Clark St. BCP PCI - 21 Properties - 2	0.00%	49.20%		\$74,196.00	\$49,467.00	\$24,729.00
	0 Spoke	1 Spoke				
3 <b>Echo Ln</b> - Westway Ave to Ridgeway Ave PCCP PCI - 18 Properties - 30	7.25%	13.21%		\$140,007.00	\$141,466.47	(\$1,459.47)
	2 Spoke	3 Spoke 1 Letter				
4 <b>Echo Ln</b> - Westway Ave to Ridgeway Ave BCP PCI - 18 Properties - 30	0.00%	20.45%		\$113,530.00	\$114,920.00	(\$1,390.00)
	0 Spoke	5 Spoke 1 Letter				
5 <b>Elisa Dr</b> - Dead End to Ostergaard Ave PCCP PCI - 24 Properties - 4	0.00%	100.00%		\$27,676.00	\$19,943.00	\$7,733.00
	0 Spoke	2 Spoke 2 Letters				
6 <b>Elisa Dr</b> - Dead End to Ostergaard Ave BCP PCI - 24 Properties - 4	0.00%	100.00%		\$18,326.00	\$13,246.00	\$5,080.00
	0 Spoke	2 Spoke 2 Letters				
7 <b>Alley</b> - Flett Ave to Holmes Ave; Nineteenth St to Eighteenth PCCP PCI - NA Properties - 18	16.94%	46.36%		\$31,500.00	\$27,347.00	\$4,153.00
	3 Spoke	1 Spoke 1 Letter 6 Petition				
8 <b>Alley</b> - Sixteenth St to Seventeenth St; Thurston Ave to Grange Ave PCCP PCI - NA Properties - 26	3.72%	3.72%		\$50,040.00	\$47,615.00	\$2,425.00
	1 Spoke	0 Spoke 1 Letter				

SUMMARY OF PUBLIC HEARING #399  
HELD BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS AND SERVICES  
April 24, 2018

STREETS	FOR	AGAINST	REL. RESOL. RESULT OF	TOTAL	PROJ. EST. ASSESS AMT	CITY GOB COST
9 <b>Alley</b> - (EW & NS) Slauson Ave to Sixteenth St; Phillips Ave to Taylor Ave PCCP PCI - NA Properties - 7	0.00%	0.00%		\$26,400.00	\$17,482.00	\$8,918.00
10 <b>Alley</b> - (NS) S. Memorial Dr to Packard Ave; Sixteenth St to Washington PCCP PCI - NA Properties - 32	6.99%	2.64%		\$83,710.00	\$77,451.00	\$6,259.00
11 <b>Alley</b> - Deane Blvd to Quincy Ave; Fifteenth St to Sixteenth St PCCP PCI - NA Properties - 23	0.00%	4.17%		\$43,200.00	\$43,200.00	\$0.00
12 <b>Alley</b> - (NS) Blaine Ave to Hayes Ave; Lindermann Ave to Washington Ave PCCP PCI - NA Properties - 18	5.56%	0.00%		\$32,400.00	\$32,400.00	\$0.00

**MINUTES**  
**PUBLIC HEARING ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS**  
**April 24, 2018**

**HEARING NO. 399**

The meeting was called to order at 5:34 P.M.

**1. Portland Cement Concrete Paving on DeKoven Avenue – Holborn Street to Clark Street**

BCP

PCI – 21

This is a staff recommendation.

No letters or petitions.

Jeff Nelson (1825 Holborn) – Opposed. Managing member of Fury III, LLC. which owns the property up for public hearing. He stated the assessment represents a 255% tax increase over 10 years, not including the interest, a 25% tax increase on the property. He believes that the sewer repair done on the East side of the railroad tracks on this street was done poorly and is the worst part of the street. The parking lanes are in worse shape than the driving lanes which he claims are not that bad.

Vilas Combs (1825 Holborn) – Opposed. He is with R & B Grinding representing the owners of the property. He walked the assessable frontage and claims it is 100 feet. He states that when they see holes they grab a shovel full of asphalt, pat it down and walk away. They do not see the City trying to do any repairs to help the longevity of the road. Thoroughfare of the driving lanes are clean and unobstructed and there is no need to do this road. The City should find other roads in worse condition to fix. The construction work would be a huge disruption from a freight standpoint.

**2. Bituminous Concrete Paving on DeKoven Avenue – Holborn Street to Clark Street**

BCP

PCI – 21

This is a staff recommendation.

No letters or petitions.

Jeff Nelson (1825 Holborn) – Opposed. Managing member of Fury III LLC which owns the property up for public hearing. He stated the assessment represents a 255% tax increase over 10 years, not including the interest, a 25% tax increase on the property. He believes that the sewer repair done on the East side of the railroad tracks on this street was done poorly and is the worst part of the street. The parking lanes are in worse shape than the driving lanes which he claims are not that bad.

Vilas Combs (1825 Holborn) – Opposed. He is with R & B Grinding representing the owners of the property. He walked the assessable frontage and claims it is 100 feet. He states that when they see holes they grab a shovel full of asphalt, pat it down and walk away. They do not see the City trying to do any repairs to help the longevity of the road. Thoroughfare of the driving lanes are clean and unobstructed and there is no need to do this road. The City should find other roads in worse condition to fix. The construction work would be a huge disruption from a freight standpoint.

**3. Portland Cement Concrete Paving on Echo Lane – Westway Avenue to Ridgeway Avenue**

Deep Oil

PCI - 18

This is a staff recommendation.

No letters or petitions.

William Rothering (200 Echo) – In Favor. PCCP. Road in front of property is terrible and falling apart.

Richard Riemer (4823 Ridgeway) – In Favor. PCCP. He wants to know why the assessment for concrete went down \$400 from five years ago, but the price went up. He wants the lower price. Doesn't understand why on the letter he received the bituminous is more expensive than the concrete. It is \$100 more. Lived at property 40 years, if the street is done would like the pooling water situation he has in front of his driveway alleviated as in winter it becomes icy. Would like a catch basin moved up the street to catch the water from all the sump pumps. Would like to know if curb and gutter would be done as well. He would like to know how long project would take. There was a water leak at the edge of his property a few years back and after the City dug up the road they did not restore it well and would like that fixed whether the road project gets approved or not. After his wife stated she was for it, Mr. Riemer stated as he was leaving, that he wanted his vote changed to in favor of concrete.

John Rooney – The rate for concrete paving is \$74 a front foot and bituminous is \$60 a front foot so he doesn't know why what was sent to him would show the bituminous being more expensive based on the same front footage. For curb and gutter that would get replaced completely or if the City can leave sections in they will. All driveway aprons get replaced. Project timeline for concrete would be about 35 days start to finish with no driving on it. Bituminous would be at least a week sooner and you are able to drive on it within hours.

Mark Yehlen – He is not sure why, but will check the numbers and get back to Mr. Riemer.

Ranee Conley (4901 Ridgeway) – Opposed. The worst part of the road is located at Mr. Riemer's address because it was not restored correctly from the water leak.

Perry Cooper (117 Echo) – Opposed, but if it does get done he wants bituminous. For the project it was stated 35 days for concrete and it would be a week less for bituminous because you can drive on it.

Kelly Tuinstra (117 Echo) – Opposed. Wants to know if there are going to be enough people to do the work on the road with Foxconn coming and would that increase the prices of the concrete and labor.

John Rooney – The rates are estimated for next year based on previous contracts. Regardless of what costs are next year, you will only pay what we have reflected on the letters you received and the City would absorb any other costs. If the cost of paving skyrockets in the future you may see the price we had projected in five years go up to reflect that. For the type of paving at least a week for bituminous although there is still curb and gutter work, there are draining problems in that area. We televise the sewers and do any repairs needed and let utility companies repair any of their work. We then need to prep the road and if it is concrete there is more time involved.

Karen Riemer (4823 Ridgeway) – In Favor. PCCP. Lives at the property that has the pooling water and the most damage and it is a safety concern in the winter as there is a fire hydrant and a light pole that someone could slide into. When the snow plows come through they pile all that snow on the corner and make a vision hazard for oncoming traffic. When Ridgeway has bituminous installed they made the holes for the sump pumps to drain into and they fill too quickly and freeze making the sump pump water run back into the property and flooding it.

Barbra Coleman (129 Echo) – Opposed, but if it is done wants bituminous. She is concerned as well about the sump pumps.

**4. Bituminous Concrete Paving on Echo Lane – Westway Avenue to Ridgeway Avenue**

Deep Oil

PCI - 18

This is a staff recommendation.

No letters or petitions.

William Rothering (200 Echo) – In Favor. PCCP. Road in front of property is terrible and falling apart.

Richard Riemer (4823 Ridgeway) – For PCCP. He wants to know why the assessment for concrete went down \$400 from five years ago, but the price went up. He wants the lower price. Doesn't understand why on the letter he received the bituminous is more expensive than the concrete. It is \$100 more. Lived at property 40 years, if the street is done would like the pooling water situation he has in front of his driveway alleviated as in winter it becomes icy. Would like a catch basin moved up the street to catch the water from all the sump pumps. Would like to know if curb and gutter would be done as well. He would like to know how long project would take. There was a water leak at the edge of his property a few years back and after the City dug up the road they did not restore it well and would like that fixed whether the road project gets approved or not. After his

wife stated she was for it, Mr. Riemer stated as he was leaving, that he wanted his vote changed to in favor for concrete.

John Rooney – The rate for concrete paving is \$74 a front foot and bituminous is \$60 a front foot so he doesn't know why what was sent to him would show the bituminous being more expensive based on the same front footage. For curb and gutter that would get replaced completely or if the City can leave sections in they will. All driveway aprons get replaced. Project timeline for concrete would be about 35 days start to finish with no driving on it. Bituminous would be at least a week sooner and you are able to drive on it within hours.

Mark Yehlen – He is not sure why, but will check the numbers and get back to Mr. Riemer.

Ranee Conley (4901 Ridgeway) – Opposed. The worst part of the road is located at Mr. Riemer's address because it was not restored correctly from the water leak.

Perry Cooper (117 Echo) – Opposed, but if it does get done he wants bituminous. For the project it was stated 35 days for concrete and it would be a week less for bituminous because you can drive on it.

Kelly Tuinstra (117 Echo) – Opposed. Wants to know if there are going to be enough people to do the work on the road with Foxconn coming and would that increase the prices of the concrete and labor.

John Rooney – The rates are estimated for next year based on previous contracts. Regardless of what costs are next year, you will only pay what we have reflected on the letters you received and the City would absorb any other costs. If the cost of paving skyrockets in the future you may see the price we had projected in five years go up to reflect that. For the type of paving at least a week for bituminous although there is still curb and gutter work, there are draining problems in that area. We televiser the sewers and do any repairs needed and let utility companies repair any of their work. We then need to prep the road and if it is concrete there is more time involved.

Karen Riemer (4823 Ridgeway) – In Favor PCCP. Lives at the property that has the pooling water and the most damage and it is a safety concern in the winter as there is a fire hydrant and a light pole that someone could slide into. When the snow plows come through they pile all that snow on the corner and make a vision hazard for oncoming traffic. When Ridgeway has bituminous installed they made the holes for the sump pumps to drain into and they fill too quickly and freeze making the sump pump water run back into the property and flooding it.

Barbra Coleman (129 Echo) – Opposed, but if it is done wants bituminous. She is concerned as well about the sump pumps.

**5. Portland Cement Concrete Paving on Elisa Drive – Dead End to Ostergaard Avenue**

BCP

PCI - 24

This is a staff recommendation.

No letters or petitions.

David Lorentzen (900 Ostegaard) – Opposed. Worse part of street is that it is cracking, but there are no missing pieces where the road ties into concrete. He has lived at the property since 1999 and the road has been like that since that time and there have been no issues with it.

Michael Grayson (816 Ostegaard) – Opposed. Agrees with Mr. Lorentzen that the worse part of the street is where it ties into the concrete from the asphalt since Mount Pleasant decided to tie Elisa Drive into Sycamore. The concrete is being torn up by drivers slamming on their brakes at the corner of Elisa Drive and Ostergaard. Ostergaard will be replaced by the City soon. Lived at property for 55 years and has seen Ostergaard be replaced twice, Elisa once or twice and the angle of Elisa once or twice. Would like to see the concrete at the corner of Elisa Drive and Ostergaard repaired. He had asked for a stop sign a few years back, but it was denied.

**6. Bituminous Concrete Paving on Elisa Drive – Dead End to Ostergaard Avenue**

BCP

PCI – 24

This is a staff recommendation.

No letters or petitions.

David Lorentzen (900 Ostegaard) – Opposed. Worse part of street is that it is cracking, but there are no missing pieces where the road ties into concrete. He has lived at the property since 1999 and the road has been like that since that time and there have been no issues with it.

Michael Grayson (816 Ostegaard) – Opposed. Agrees with Mr. Lorentzen that the worse part of the street is where it ties into the concrete from the asphalt since Mount Pleasant decided to tie Elisa Drive into Sycamore. The concrete is being torn up by drivers slamming on their brakes at the corner of Elisa Drive and Ostergaard. Ostergaard will be replaced by the City soon. Lived at property for 55 years and has seen Ostergaard be replaced twice, Elisa once or twice and the angle of Elisa once or twice. Would like to see the concrete at the corner of Elisa Drive and Ostergaard repaired. He had asked for a stop sign a few years back, but it was denied.

**7. Portland Cement Concrete Paving for alley on Flett Avenue to Holmes; Nineteenth Street to Eighteenth Street**

PCCP

PCI – N/A

This is an Alderman request.

Petition submitted by Loreen Mohr - 1808 Holmes Avenue.

Loreen Mohr (1808 Holmes) – Opposed. Submitted a petition from ten residents. Most of the residents do not use the alley to access their homes or garages. She would like to know if the City could just do some patching in parts of the alley at a cheaper cost since there are only about four people that use the alley.

Todd Olson (2809 Flett & 2510 Nineteenth) – In Favor. The property at 2510 Nineteenth Street has a sink hole that measures 8 inches deep and 6 inches in diameter. People who access the alley use his driveway to avoid the sink hole which, in turn is tearing up his lawn. He has complained quite a few times about the alley condition. He has contacted Kristine Wilkinson, because he was upset about how the City assessed his property at 110 feet, but it should have been just the frontage not the side of the property. He would like to know who is responsible if a child gets hurt in the sink hole. He is putting the City on notice so that he is not financially responsible if an accident does occur.

John Tate II – We do not have counsel here so we cannot address that question directly.

Debra Harmsen (1805 Flett) – In Favor. Has a sink hole that is 3 feet by 5 feet that needs to be fixed.

**8. Portland Cement Concrete Paving for alley on Sixteenth Street to Seventeenth Street; Thurston Avenue to Grange Avenue**

BCP

PCI – N/A

This is an Alderman request.

No letters or petitions.

Richard Marcsis (1637 Thurston) – In Favor. Has lived at property for 48 years and the alley has not had maintenance on it except for last year when they patched the holes, because it was getting undrivable.



**9. Portland Cement Concrete Paving for alley (EW & NS) on Slauson Avenue to Sixteenth Street; Phillips Avenue to Taylor Avenue**

BCP

This is an Alderman request.

No letters or petitions.

Dave Holterman (2111 Slauson) – In Favor. His property isn't abutted to the alley, but is located on the same block and he frequently uses the alley. It needs to be done as it is in bad shape.

**10. Portland Cement Concrete Paving for alley (NS) on South Memorial Drive to Packard Avenue; Sixteenth Street to Washington Avenue**

BCP

PCI – N/A

This is an Alderman request.

No letters or petitions.

Sean Slaasted (1516 S. Memorial) – Opposed. Not sure how many people actually live on the block, but likes the alley the way it is as it is a deterrent for drug dealers and gang bangers that race down the alley. He doesn't understand why this is being brought up when there are bigger problems in that area that need to be addressed.

Anita Scaggs (1536 S. Memorial) – In Favor. The alley is in terrible shape. This alley has a high traffic volume. Has lived at property for 17 years and has not seen any repairs done except for a patch here and there. The pot holes are enormous. She is not going to be able to afford the project as she has a hard time paying her property taxes as she is single and retired, but the alley needs to be done.

Richard Paris (1548 S. Memorial) – In Favor. He would like to know what the 10% contingency fee is, because he has one of the biggest lots on the block.

Mark Yehlen – The contingency fee is for unforeseen costs. If there are questions on the assessment of the property contact the Engineering Department and talk to Kristine Wilkinson.

**11. Portland Cement Concrete Paving for alley on Deane Boulevard to Quincy Avenue;  
Fifteenth Street to Sixteenth Street**

PCCP

PCI – N/A

This is an Alderman request.

No letters or petitions.

No speakers.

**12. Portland Cement Concrete Paving for alley (NS) on Blaine Avenue to Hayes Avenue;  
Washington Avenue to Lindermann Avenue**

BCP

PCI – N/A

This is an Alderman request.

No letters or petitions.

David Holterman (1128 Hayes) – In Favor. It's been 50 years since the alley was done and you cannot drive down it. It should have been done years ago.

The meeting adjourned at 6:24 P.M.

## DeKoven Avenue (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Fury III LLC.		1825 Holborn Street	330	PH
Biddle Enterprises LLC.		1900 Clark Street	340.7	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	670.70	TOTAL FRONTAGE	670.70	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED FRONTAGE	330.00	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0.00	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	49.20%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%	

## DeKoven Avenue (BCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Fury III LLC.		1825 Holborn Street	330	PH
Biddle Enterprises LLC.		1900 Clark Street	340.7	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	670.70	TOTAL FRONTAGE	670.70	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED FRONTAGE	330.00	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0.00	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	49.20%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%	

# Echo Lane (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Justin M. & Kristin M.	Czerwinski	4900 Westway Avenue	73.64	
Louis Jr. & Donna L.	Noppe	234 Echo Lane	62	
William G.	Parsons	228 Echo Lane	62	
Lyle D. & Lucy	Roushia	222 Echo Lane	59.12	
Reynaldo J.	Iruegas	216 Echo Lane	62	
Timothy & Patricia M.	Majchrowitz	210 Echo Lane	60	
William L. & Candace A.	Rothering	200 Echo Lane	75.62	PH
Idabelle	Loewecke	148 Echo Lane	66	
John P. & Leslie J.	Ruffalo	140 Echo Lane	68	
Michael P. & Lizabeth L.	Rosienski	134 Echo Lane	62	
Nicholas J. & Rachel M.	Contreras	128 Echo Lane	64	
Glen L.	Barth	122 Echo Lane	66	
Gus T. & Janice M.	Petrakis	116 Echo Lane	64	
Karen L.	MaGee	108 Echo Lane	65	
Ranee M.	Conley	4901 Ridgeway Avenue	62.76	PH
Richard A. & Karen L.	Riemer	4823 Ridgeway Avenue	65.25	PH
Wayne T. & Debbie L.	Turek	111 Echo Lane	65	
Perry	Cooper Sr.	117 Echo Lane	64	PH
Katelyn & Michael D.	Robbins	123 Echo Lane	66	
Barbara A.	Coleman	129 Echo Lane	64	PH
Donald S. & Jeanette L.	Olsen	137 Echo Lane	66	
Marc A. & Linda J.	Henkel	143 Echo Lane	64	
Michael & Karen	Kotsch	149 Echo Lane	66	LETTER
Dontay D. & Barbara G.	McGee	201 Echo Lane	51.39	
Jose Gutierrez	Maldonado	209 Echo Lane	65	
Mueller Family Trust	Thomas C. &	217 Echo Lane	65	
Lorraine	Lee	223 Echo Lane	82.4	
Rico M. & Idalia L.	Veguilla	229 Echo Lane	60	
Derek W. & Stephanie J.	Dawdy	235 Echo Lane	65	
Gregory	Harris	4816 Westway Avenue	63.06	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	1944.24	TOTAL FRONTAGE	1944.24	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	256.76	TOTAL IN FAVOR	140.87	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	13.21%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	7.25%	

# Echo Lane (BCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Justin M. & Kristin M.	Czerwinski	4900 Westway Avenue	73.64	
Louis Jr. & Donna L.	Noppe	234 Echo Lane	62	
William G.	Parsons	228 Echo Lane	62	
Lyle D. & Lucy	Roushia	222 Echo Lane	59.12	
Reynaldo J.	Iruegas	216 Echo Lane	62	
Timothy & Patricia M.	Majchrowitz	210 Echo Lane	60	
William L. & Candace A.	Rothering	200 Echo Lane	75.62	PH
Idabelle	Loewecke	148 Echo Lane	66	
John P. & Leslie J.	Ruffalo	140 Echo Lane	68	
Michael P. & Lizabeth L.	Rosienski	134 Echo Lane	62	
Nicholas J. & Rachel M.	Contreras	128 Echo Lane	64	
Glen L.	Barth	122 Echo Lane	66	
Gus T. & Janice M.	Petrakis	116 Echo Lane	64	
Karen L.	MaGee	108 Echo Lane	65	
Ranee M.	Conley	4901 Ridgeway Avenue	62.76	PH
Richard A. & Karen L.	Rierner	4823 Ridgeway Avenue	65.25	PH
Wayne T. & Debbie L.	Turek	111 Echo Lane	65	
Perry	Cooper Sr.	117 Echo Lane	64	PH
Katelyn & Michael D.	Robbins	123 Echo Lane	66	
Barbara A.	Coleman	129 Echo Lane	64	PH
Donald S. & Jeanette L.	Olsen	137 Echo Lane	66	
Marc A. & Linda J.	Henkel	143 Echo Lane	64	
Michael & Karen	Kotsch	149 Echo Lane	66	LETTER
Dontay D. & Barbara G.	McGee	201 Echo Lane	51.39	
Jose Gutierrez	Maldonado	209 Echo Lane	65	
Mueller Family Trust	Thomas C. &	217 Echo Lane	65	
Lorraine	Lee	223 Echo Lane	82.4	
Rico M. & Idalia L.	Veguilla	229 Echo Lane	60	
Derek W. & Stephanie J.	Dawdy	235 Echo Lane	65	
Gregory	Harris	4816 Westway Avenue	63.06	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	1944.24	TOTAL FRONTAGE	1944.24	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	397.63	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	20.45%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%	

## Elisa Drive (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Revocable Trust	Ernest L. Urpila	5212 Elisa Drive	101.44	LETTER
Bowen Trust	Donald W. & Pamela A.	5206 Elisa Drive	48	LETTER
Kathryn	Bartel	816 Ostergaard	49.17	PH
Living Trust	David M. & Natalia Lorentzen	900 Ostergaard	140.8	PH

TOTAL FRONTAGE	339.41	TOTAL FRONTAGE	339.41	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	339.41	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	100.00%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%	

## Elisa Drive (BCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	Frontage	FEEDBACK
Revocable Trust	Ernest L. Urpila	5212 Elisa Drive	101.44	LETTER
Bowen Trust	Donald W. & Pamela A.	5206 Elisa Drive	48	LETTER
Kathryn	Bartel	816 Ostergaard	49.17	PH
Living Trust	David M. & Natalia Lorentzen	900 Ostergaard	140.8	PH

TOTAL FRONTAGE	339.41	TOTAL FRONTAGE	339.41	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	339.41	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	100.00%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%	

# Alley (Flett to Holmes...) (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	Frontage	FEEDBACK
Todd R. & Janice E.	Olson	2510 Ninteenth Street	36.5	PH
Pauline G.	Mitchell	1825 Flett Avenue	45.6	PETITION
Kathleen L.	Sims	1821 Flett Avenue	37.9	
James O. & Kathy JO	Sayles	1817 Flett Avenue	37.9	
Bruce W. & Rosie L.	Maple	1815 Flett Avenue	37.9	PETITION
Todd R. & Janice	Olson	1809 Flett Avenue	37.9	PH
Debra L.	Harmsen	1805 Flett Avenue	37.9	PH
Kevin T. & Jerilyn A.	Bradley	1801 Flett Avenue	37.9	PETITION & LETTER
Francisco J. &	Garcia	1838 Holmes Avenue	36.7	PETITION
Alan R.	Suhajda	1834 Holmes Avenue	36.7	PETITION
Marcelle M.	Bittis	1832 Holmes Avenue	36.7	PETITION
Joe F.	Pritchard	1828 Holmes Avenue	25.4	
Joseph	Pritchard	1820 Holmes Avenue	38.8	
Jose Antonio	Reynoso Padilla	1816 Holmes Avenue	37.9	
Morris P.	Matsen	1812 Holmes Avenue	37.9	PETITION
Loren I.	Mohr	1808 Holmes Avenue	37.9	PH & PETITION
TMBN Properties LLC.		2511 Eighteenth Street	40	
Joseph	Pritchard	1824 Holmes Avenue	25.4	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	662.9	TOTAL FRONTAGE	662.9	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	307.3	TOTAL IN FAVOR	112.3	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	46.36%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	16.94%	

# Alley (16th to 17th, Thurston to Grange (PCCP))

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Guardian Credit Union		1653 Thurston Avenue	40	
Cheryl C.	Spencer	1649 Thurston Avenue	40	
Michael & Marilyn	Neidinger	1645 Thurston Avenue	40	
Elizabeth J.	Anderson	1641 Thurston Avenue	40	
Richard & Victoria	Marcis	1637 Thurston Avenue	40	PH & LETTER
Ironleaf LLC.		1633 Thurston Avenue	40	
Shawn	Larson	1629 Thruston Avenue	39.8	
Joseph G. Jr. & Debra L.	Vasquez	1625 Thurston Avenue	39.5	
MLC Homes LLC.		1621 Thurston Avenue	39.5	
John A.	Madsen	1617 Thurston Avenue	39.5	
Jammie & Joyce	Daniel	1613 Thurston Avenue	39.5	
Dale L. & Janice I.	Rovik	1609 Thurston Avenue	40	
Nicole A.	Laycock	2711 Sixteenth Street	40	LETTER
2710-12 17th Street Land Trust		2721 Seventeenth Street	40	
CWC Properties LLC.		1648 Grange Avenue	40	
Rivero	De Teresa Malfavo	1640 Grange Avenue	40	
Matthew A.	Schumaker	1636 Grange Avenue	40	
Alejandro	Mares	1632 Grange Avenue	40	
Michael J. & Debra L.	Braun	1622 Grange Avenue	52.8	
Randy L. & Paula J.	Johnson	1616 Grange Avenue	52.7	
Frank V. & Bertha	Sanchez	1608 Grange Avenue	39.5	
Kenneth D.	Kolstedt	1604 Grange Avenue	39.5	
Carlos	Lopez	1600 Grange Avenue	39.5	
Mary K.	Lenard	1644 Grange Avenue	40	
Thomas S. & Gale E.	Nevala	1628 Grange Avenue	52.8	
Donald H. & Joyce L.	Nevala	1612 Grange Avenue	39.5	
				OPPOSED
				IN FAVOR
TOTAL FRONTAGE	1074.1	TOTAL FRONTAGE	1074.1	
TOTAL OPPOSED	40	TOTAL IN FAVOR	40	
PERCENT OPPOSED	3.72%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	3.72%	



## Alley (Slauson to 16th...) (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Alquds Investments		1914 Sixteenth Street	38.93	
Judy K.	Simonsen	1915 Slauson Avenue	38.93	
Racine Community Outpost		1900 Sixteenth Street	80	
Navarro	Moises	1550 Phillips Avenue	40	
Gerardo V.	Medrano	1546 Phillips Avenue	40	
Sandra	Gonzalez	1546 Phillips Avenue	40	
LLC.	R&N Investments and Holdings		40	

OPPOSED  
IN FAVOR

TOTAL FRONTAGE	317.86	TOTAL FRONTAGE	317.86
TOTAL OPPOSED	0	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0
PERCENT OPPOSED	0.00%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%

# Alley (S. Memorial to Packard...) (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Luis	Maldonado	1573 Packard Avenue	40	
Kings Liquor Mart INC.		1567 Packard Avenue	40	
REIZ LLC.		1563 Packard Avenue	40	
Rosario	Alvarez	1559 Packard Avenue	40	
Donald F.	Miller	1555 Packard Avenue	40	
Jerome E. & Deanna M.	Zewen	1551 Packard Avenue	40	
Jennifer	Anderson	1547 Packard Avenue	40	
Domingo C. & Eliselda	Nevarez	1543 Packard Avenue	40	
Levorn & Bonnie J.	Harlan	1539 Packard Avenue	40	
Miguel A.	Cervantez	1535 Packard Avenue	40	
City of Racine		1531 Packard Avenue	40	
Dale R.	Prince	1529 Packard Avenue	40	
James J.	Felbab	1521 Packard Avenue	40	
Bahena	Guillermina	1519 Packard Avenue	40	
PW Partners Inc.		1513 Packard Avenue	48	
PW Partners Inc.		1637 Washington Avenue	151	
Mohinder	Singh	1627 Washington Avenue	122	
Todd K.	Richards	1414 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Jean M.	Petri	1418 S. Memorial Drive	40	
William R. & Darlene	Mc Neal	1422 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Kevin J.	Bednar	1500 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Donald F.	Miller	1504 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Dawn Snow	Norton	1508 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Donald F.	Miller	1510 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Sean M.	Slaasted	1516 S. Memorial Drive	40	PH
Florence James	Osius	1522 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Housing Development	Impact Educational and	1524 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Terry M.	Redmond	1528 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Sally M.	Logan	1532 S. Memorial Drive	40	
Anita L.	Scaggs	1536 S. Memorial Drive	50	PH
David W. & Jeanette	Williams	1524 S. Memorial Drive	50	
Richard L. & Stephanie	Paris	1548 S. Memorial Drive	56	PH

TOTAL FRONTAGE	1517	TOTAL FRONTAGE	1517	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	40	TOTAL IN FAVOR	106	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	2.64%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	6.99%	

# Alley (Deane to Quincy...) (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
James H. & Marjorie L.	Lois	1545 Deane Boulevard	40	
Aaron P.	Richie	1542 Deane Boulevard	40	
Sheryl	Cain	1537 Deane Boulevard	40	
Shirie E.	Massie	1529 Deane Boulevard	40	
William W.	Stephan	1525 Deane Boulevard	40	
Edward L.	Martini	1523 Deane Boulevard	60	
Norbert J. Jr.	DeKeuster	1517 Deane Boulevard	60	
Victor J.	Skurulsky	1515 Deane Boulevard	40	
Dominic J. & Geraldine S.	Cibrario	1509 Deane Boulevard	40	LETTER
Gonzalo & Alana J.	Gonzalez	1505 Deane Boulevard	40	
Richard J. & Lisa M.	Schue	1501 Deane Boulevard	40	
Henry L. & Bridget W.	White	2902 Sixteenth Street	40	
Paul D. & Karen	Burlingame	1542 Quincy Avenue	40	
Patrick J.	Mulready	1536 Quincy Avenue	40	
Melvin J. & Theresa L.	Both	1532 Quincy Avenue	40	
Jeffrey M.	Macemon	1528 Quincy Avenue	40	
James R. & Kim M.	Marcotte	1526 Quincy Avenue	40	
Beverly M. Reidi Trust		1520 Quincy Avenue	40	
Anthony R. & Noelle H.	Lipari	1516 Quincy Avenue	40	
Keith A. & Elsa A.	Harris	1512 Quincy Avenue.	40	
James & Carina	Sewell	1508 Quincy Avenue	40	
Robert & Laura	Bartels	1504 Quincy Avenue	40	
Benjamin K. & Alexandra L.	Pias	1500 Quincy Avenue	40	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	960	TOTAL FRONTAGE	960	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	40	TOTAL IN FAVOR	0	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	4.17%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	0.00%	

## Alley (Blaine to Hayes) (PCCP)

FIRSTNAME	LASTNAME	ADDRESS	FRONTAGE	FEEDBACK
Heather M. & Adam	Christensen	1135 Blaine Avenue	40	
Michael D. & Sarah M.	O Connell	1129 Blaine Avenue	40	
Shelly J.	Myhre	1125 Blaine Avenue	40	
Geraldine R.	Logan	1121 Blaine Avenue	40	
Deanna L. & Wesley T.	Van Marter	1117 Blaine Avenue	40	
Keith A.	Zumach	1115 Blaine Avenue	40	
David M. & Amy	Leigh	1109 Blaine Avenue	40	
Life Tenant	Steven G. & Loris E. Jenkins	1105 Blaine Avenue	40	
Mark H. & Cynthia L.	Kautzmann	3315 Lindermann Avenue	40	
Brian	Gascoigne	1132 Hayes Avenue	40	
Foremost Equities Inc.		1128 Hayes Avenue	40	PH
Curt	Nelson	1124 Hayes Avenue	40	
Vicki A.	Zywicki	1120 Hayes Avenue	40	
Kevin M.	Marchetti	1118 Hayes Avenue	40	
Karen A.	Wilbershide	1112 Hayes Avenue	40	
CDC Rentals LLC.		1110 Hayes Avenue	40	
Scott A.	Hetchler	1104 Hayes Avenue	40	
Benjamin T.	Halverson	1100 Hayes Avenue	40	

TOTAL FRONTAGE	720	TOTAL FRONTAGE	720	OPPOSED
TOTAL OPPOSED	0	TOTAL IN FAVOR	40	IN FAVOR
PERCENT OPPOSED	0.00%	PERCENT IN FAVOR	5.56%	