Town/Village/City of Racine

Board of Review

Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision

- Poard of Review (BOR) Assessment Appeal Hearing must be held in open session.
- The BOR should make its decision only on the evidence presented.
- The BOR can hear the appeal immediately or at another time. If later, advise the taxpayer as to the case deliberation date and time.
- Complete the decision part of this form immediately after the case is decided.
- The BOR clerk can participate in completion of this form.

ne W1.53
Am
view.
etor to clerk
n of Board of
inzger,
11100
^

Board Counsel Pr Property Owner/C	esent: The Byelayar Objector's Attorney or Representative:	None
Board Members w	with certified training (must have at lea	est one): Holls Maurer
TESTIMONY		
	viduals were sworn as witnesses by th	e Board of Review Clerk (include Pr
Owner/Objector or	his/her Representative, if testifying, a	and Assessor):
Dan	Murphy	
÷		
1. Sworn testimor	ny by Property Owner/Objector	Dobust Quam Jr. inclu
	of the subject property:	YesNo
If yes: The sub	ject property was sold for \$ 925.0	000
	Date of sale 9/	124/2021
b. Recent sales of	f comparable properties:	Yes No
If yes: A to	tal number of other pro	
	Addresses of other properties:	, processes
	1	
c. Other factors of	reasons (if presented):	Yes No_
If yes: List of s	ummary factors or reasons presented b	by Property owner/objector (if eviden
presented only avail	able to one side, list corroboration of	that evidence):
	Necese See Exhil	01-7.
2. Sworn testimony	y on behalf of Property owner/objec	tor was presented by following oth
2. Sworn testimony witnesses (if any)	y on behalf of Property owner/objec	tor was presented by following oth
2. Sworn testimony witnesses (if any)	y on behalf of Property owner/objec :	tor was presented by following oth

a. Estimated level of assessment for the current year is	included:
b. A recent sale of the subject property: If yes: The subject property was sold for \$ 925,000 Date of sale 9 24 202	o
If yes: The subject property was sold for \$925,000 Date of sale 9124 2021	о
Date of sale 9/24/2021	
c. Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes No	12
	o
If yes: A total number of other properties were presented.	
Addresses of other properties:	_
3433 Michigan Blvd, 3001 Michigan 1833 Main St. 2908 Michigan Blv 2404 Michigan Blvd	d, and
c. Other factors or reasons (if presented): Yes N	lo <u>X</u>
If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by Assessor:	
4. Sworn testimony (if any) on behalf of the Assessor was presented by:	
5. Summary of testimony of other witnesses for Assessor (if any):	22.1
NIA	

C.

^{*} The relationship between the assessed value and the equalized value of non-manufacturing property minus corrections for prior year over or under charges within a municipality – town, city or village. For example, if the assessed value of all property subject to property tax in the municipality is \$2,700,000 and the equalized value (with no prior corrections) in the municipality is \$3,000,000 then the "assessment level" is said to be 90% (\$2,700,000/\$3,000,000 = .90 or 90%)

2. The	board finds that there was a recent sale of the subject property.	Yes	No
The	e sale was an arms-length transaction.	Yes	Nc
b) The	e sale was representative of the value as of January.	Yes	No
c. The	board finds that the sale supports the assessment.	Yes	No
d. If a	Il answers are 'yes.'	//	
	d1. What is the sale price?		
	d2. What if any adjustments, based on the evidence presented, should b	e made for	r such
	considerations as time between the date of sale and the January 1 as non-market class value in the selling price (ag-use value and fractic classes), and/or other physical changes that occurred to the property date and the January 1 assessment date?	onally asse:	ssed
	d3. What is the full taxable value?		
onses ir	2 through 2c were "yes," upon completion of the section proceed to sect	ion D, Dec	nsion,
ll that	apply and determine the assessed value.		
	and the state of community		_
3. The	Board of Review finds that there are recent sales of comparable	No	
prop	erties: Yes	180	_
If ye	s, answer the following:		
Prop	perty Owner	*	-
a.	Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area? Yes	No _	
b.	If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Yes	No <u> </u>	/
Assess	sor		
c.	Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area? Yes_	No_	
d.	If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Yes		
Concl	usion		
е.	LIST THE PROPERTIES AND VALUES THAT THE BOARD OF		
RI	EVIEW RELIES ON TO MAKE ITS DETERMINATION AS TO		
	AIR MARKET VALUE:	20	
21	422 Michien exhibit 2		
<u>U</u>	()		
-			
-			
-			

4. The Board of Review finds that the assessment should be based on other factors:	Yes No
If Yes, list the factors that the Board of Review relies on to make its determination as to fair market value:	
What was the most credible evidence presented:	

- D. DECISION (Motion must be made and seconded)
 - 1. Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 70.47(9)(a), the Board of Review by majority and roll call vote hereby determines See below: North Cholo Seconds, (mark all that apply below)
 - That the Assessor's valuation is correct;
 - That the Assessor presented evidence of the fair market value of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual;
 - That the Assessor presented evidence of the proper classification of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the <u>Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual</u>;
 - That the proper use values were applied to the agricultural land;
 - That the proper fractional assessments were applied to undeveloped land and agricultural forest land classifications:
 - That the property owner did not present sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness granted by law to the Assessor;
 - That the Assessor's valuation is reasonable in light of all the relevant evidence;
 - And sustains the same valuation as set by the Assessor.
 - It is not relevant to present assessments of other properties as a basis for the market value of the appeal property (in certain cases).

OR

2.	Moves: Ex	ercising its judgment a	nd discretion	n, pursuant
to W	Wis. Stat. \$ 70.47(9)(a), the Board of Review by	y majority and roll call	t annly heloi	4/)
dete	termines:	Seconds, (mark all tha	г аррту Бето	5
•	That the Assessor's valuation is incorrect;		2011	
	That the property owner has presented sufficient	evidence to rebut the pre	sumption of c	orrectness
/•	granted by law to the Assessor;			
	granted by law to the 1255	: Light of the relevant e	vidence:	
/ .	That the property owner's valuation is reasonable	e in light of the relevant c	vidence,	
	The state of the property is			
	Land:			3*
	Improvements: Total:			
	 That the level of assessment of the municipality 	is at:	_%	
	 And hereby sets the new assessment at: 		8	
	Land:			
	Improvements:			
	Total:			
132-3,00	ra Coolidge , Clerk	of the Roard of Reviev	v. do hereby	certify that the
I, \underline{Q}	Collade de follows	of the Dour a of 111	•	
members o	of the Board of Review voted as follows:	Yes	No	
	ame of Board of Review Member:			
	01 13		·	
4	Natalie Cholen			Abstoin
-	idia Coonage			
===	U U			
-		·	7-	
-				
		1 Th - 1-1	this 27th	0
	to adopt these Findings of Fact, Determi	nation and Decision of	I this Ox I	_

Clerk of Board of Review

This sample script was originally prepared by John P. Macy of Municipal Law and Litigation Group, S.C., (262)548-1340, and was reviewed and modified by Rick Stadelman of the Wisconsin Towns Association and the Office of Technical & Assessment Services of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.