Town/Village/City of Rache

Board of Review

Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision

- Board of Review (BOR) Assessment Appeal Hearing must be held in open session.
- The BOR should make its decision only on the evidence presented.
- The BOR can hear the appeal immediately or at another time. If later, advise the taxpayer as to the case deliberation date and time.
- Complete the decision part of this form immediately after the case is decided.
- The BOR clerk can participate in completion of this form.

PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT		
ASSESSMENT YEAR: 2022 Tax Key Number: 14295000		
Personal Property Account Number (if applicable)		
Property Address: 2025 Decune Bird		
Property Owner: Mathew Posle		
Mailing Address: 2025 Deane Blid Racine, wx 53403		
January 1, 202 Assessment Value: \$86,000 \$93.65 Sq.F+		
Land: Total: Total:		
Hearing Date: 4-6-2003. Time: 12:30		
Note: Taxpayer must have filed written objection before or at Board of Review. Check one: Timely notice of "Intent to File an Objection" was provided by objector to clerk		
(either in writing or orally) at least 48 hours prior to first full session of Board of Review		
Or Waiver was granted by Board of Review for:		
Or		
Or Waiver was granted by Board of Review for: Good Cause, or Extraordinary Circumstances		
Or Waiver was granted by Board of Review for: Good Cause, or Extraordinary Circumstances		
Or Waiver was granted by Board of Review for: Good Cause, or		

	Board Counsel Present: John Billa	ac
	Property Owner/Objector's Attorney or Representative:	N/A
	Board Members with certified training (must have at least one)	ostidil
В.	TESTIMONY	0
	The following individuals were sworn as witnesses by the Boar	d of Review Clerk (include Property
	Owner/Objector or his/her Representative, if testifying, and Ass.	sessor):
	Carolyn chabrion	
	1. Sworn testimony by Property Owner/Objector Mark	hew Poske included:
	a. A recent sale of the subject property:	YesNo
	If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	
	Date of sale NA	
	b. Recent sales of comparable properties:	YesNo_
	If yes: A total number of other properties	were presented.
	Addresses of other properties:	
	ř -	(<u>R</u>
	c. Other factors or reasons (if presented):	Yes No
	If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by Proppresented only available to one side, list corroboration of that ev	idence):
	LOOK OU EXHIBIT I	
	2. Sworn testimony on behalf of Property owner/objector was witnesses (if any):	ns presented by following other
		ĕ
		9

Summary of testimony of other witnesses for objector (if an	y):
3. Sworn testimony by Assessor Carolyn Ch	
a. Estimated level of assessment for the current year is	%.
b. A recent sale of the subject property:	Yes No
If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	_
Date of sale N/P	<u> </u>
c. Recent sales of comparable properties:	Yes No
If yes: A total number of other properti	ies were presented.
Addresses of other properties:	
2053 Thurston D	1832 HOIMES AND
c. Other factors or reasons (if presented):	Yes No
If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by As	
4. Sworn testimony (if any) on behalf of the Assessor was	presented by:
5. Summary of testimony of other witnesses for Assessor	(if any): N/A
DETERMINATIONS	

C.

^{*} The relationship between the assessed value and the equalized value of non-manufacturing property minus corrections for prior year over or under charges within a municipality – town, city or village. For example, if the assessed value of all property subject to property tax in the municipality is \$2,700,000 and the equalized value (with no prior corrections) in the municipality is \$3,000,000 then the "assessment level" is said to be 90% (\$2,700,000/\$3,000,000 = .90 or 90%)

2. The	e board finds that there was a recent sale of the subject property.	Yes	No	
a. Th	e sale was an arms-length transaction.	Yes	No	
b. Th	e sale was representative of the value as of January.	Yes	No	
c. Th	be board finds that the sale supports the assessment.	Yes	No_	
d. If	all answers are 'yes.'	/		
	d1. What is the sale price?			
	d2. What if any adjustments, based on the evidence presented, sho	uld be made	for such	
	considerations as time between the date of sale and the Januar			
	non-market class value in the selling price (ag-use value and fi	ractionally as	sessed	
	classes), and/or other physical changes that occurred to the pro-	perty betwee	en the sale	
	date and the January 1 assessment date?			
			,	
=				
-				
-	d3. What is the full taxable value?			
If responses i	n 2 through 2c were "yes," upon completion of the section proceed to	section D, L	ecision,	
check all that	apply and determine the assessed value.			
		193		
	e Board of Review finds that there are recent sales of comparable	Yes No		
	perties:	165	-	
If yo	es, answer the following:			
Pro	perty Owner		11	
a.	Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of	¥7.	. /	
	comparable properties in the market area?	YesNo		
	and the state of t	20	/	
b.	If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their difference from the subject and their contribution to value?	Yes No		
	from the subject and their contribution to value.	1051		
Asses	<u>sor</u>	_		
c.	Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable	Yes No		
	properties in the market area?	i esivo		
.1	If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences	s from the		
a.	from the subject and their contribution to value?	Yes No		
	A	103		
Conc	<u>lusion</u> LIST THE PROPERTIES AND VALUES THAT THE BOARD O	F		
e.		1		
	REVIEW RELIES ON TO MAKE ITS DETERMINATION AS TO			
F	AIR MARKET VALUE:	CC		
5	2053 Mursten tike 1850 TUMES A	AC'		
	2024 Rane Dive			
- 	Please see exhibit 2			
e	The sec exhibit			

4. The Board of Review finds that the assessn	nent should be based on other factors: Yes No _	<u>(</u>
If Yes, list the factors that the Board of Revie	ew relies on to make its determination as	
to fair market value:		
What was the most credible evidence prese	nted:	
(A)		

- **D. DECISION** (Motion must be made and seconded)
 - 1. Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 70(47(9)(a), the Board of Review by majority and roll call vote hereby determines see below: Tolly Move Seconds, (mark all that apply below)
 - That the Assessor's valuation is correct;
 - That the Assessor presented evidence of the fair market value of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual;
 - That the Assessor presented evidence of the proper classification of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined in the <u>Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual</u>;
 - That the proper use values were applied to the agricultural land;
 - That the proper fractional assessments were applied to undeveloped land and agricultural forest land classifications;
 - That the property owner did not present sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness granted by law to the Assessor;
 - That the Assessor's valuation is reasonable in light of all the relevant evidence;
 - And sustains the same valuation as set by the Assessor.
 - It is not relevant to present assessments of other properties as a basis for the market value of the appeal property (in certain cases).

OR

2 Moves: E	xercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant
to Wis. Stat. § 70.47(9)(a), the Board of Review	_Seconds, (mark all that apply below)
determines:	
That the Assessor's valuation is incorrect;	
granted by law to the Assessor;	at evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness
• That the property owner's valuation is reasonal	ole in light of the relevant evidence;
• That the full value of the property is: Land:	
Improvements: Total:	
That the level of assessment of the municipality	y is at:%
And hereby sets the new assessment at:	
Land:	
Improvements:	
Total:	
Tora Coolidal, Clerk	s of the Board of Review, do hereby certify that the
members of the Board of Review voted as follows:	Yes No
Name of Board of Review Member:	165
Se Vintaer	-V
Natalie Scholew	
Holly Maver	Abotain
Tana Cooliage	
N	
to adopt these Findings of Fact, Determ	nination and Decision on this
day of $\frac{\text{Ove}}{\text{Ove}}$, $\frac{202}{\text{O}}$.	
	Clerk of Board of Review

This sample script was originally prepared by John P. Macy of Municipal Law and Litigation Group, S.C., (262)548-1340, and was reviewed and modified by Rick Stadelman of the Wisconsin Towns Association and the Office of Technical & Assessment Services of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.