Town/Village/City of _

Board of Review

Findings of Fact, Determinations and Decision

- Board of Review (BOR) Assessment Appeal Hearing must be held in open session.
- The BOR should make its decision only on the evidence presented.
- > The BOR can hear the appeal immediately or at another time. If later, advise the taxpayer as to the case deliberation date and time.
- Complete the decision part of this form immediately after the case is decided.
- The BOR clerk can participate in completion of this form.

	PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION AND FINDINGS OF FACT
	ASSESSMENT YEAR: 202 2 Tax Key Number: 276000033427000
	Personal Property Account Number (if applicable)
	Property Address: 3201 Moor land Aye
	Property Owner: Cory D. & Tara L. Schmidt
	Mailing Address: 3201 Hoor land Aye
	January 1, 202 Assessment Value: \$151,000
	Land: 514.500 Improvements: \$121.500 Total: \$138,000
	Hearing Date: 64-2022 Time: 12:00 PM
	Objector Received written confirmation of Hearing Date: Yes: No:
	Note: <u>Taxpayer must have filed written objection before or at Board of Review</u> . Check one:
	Timely notice of "Intent to File an Objection" was provided by objector to clerk (either in writing or orally) at least 48 hours prior to first full session of Board of Review Or
-	Waiver was granted by Board of Review for:
	Good Cause, <u>or</u>
	Extraordinary Circumstances
	Board members present: Natalie Chulew, Sue Pinzger,
	Holly Maurer.
	Board Members removed (if any):

Board Counsel Present: John Byllajac Property Owner/Objector's Attorney or Representative:	
Board Members with certified training (must have at least one): Holly wave	'w
TESTIMONY	
The following individuals were sworn as witnesses by the Board of Review Clerk (include I Owner/Objector or his/her Representative, if testifying, and Assessor):	Propert
Carolyn chabrion	
1. Sworn testimony by Property Owner/Objector Tara L. Schmidt inc	cluded:
a. A recent sale of the subject property: Yes No L	
If yes: The subject property was sold for \$	
Date of sale	
b. Recent sales of comparable properties: Yes No	
If yes: A total number of other properties were presented.	×
Addresses of other properties:	
	_
c. Other factors or reasons (if presented): Yes No	
If yes: List of summary factors or reasons presented by Property owner/objector (if evide presented only available to one side, list corroboration of that evidence): Please See Exhibit I	ence
2. Sworn testimony on behalf of Property owner/objector was presented by following of witnesses (if any):	ther

. Sworn	testimony by Assessor Carolyn Chabrion included
	nated level of assessment for the current year is%.
b. A rec	ent sale of the subject property: Yes No
If yes	The subject property was sold for \$
	Date of sale
c. Rece	nt sales of comparable properties: Yes No
If	res: A total number of other properties were presented.
Ad	dresses of other properties:
	3105 Taylor Ave, 2113 Kentucky St
	and 2121 Indiana St.
c. Other	factors or reasons (if presented): YesNo
If yes:	List of summary factors or reasons presented by Assessor:
	Please Look out Exhibit &
Sworn	testimony (if any) on behalf of the Assessor was presented by:
Summ	ary of testimony of other witnesses for Assessor (if any): Non-L

C.

^{*} The relationship between the assessed value and the equalized value of non-manufacturing property minus corrections for prior year over or under charges within a municipality – town, city or village. For example, if the assessed value of all property subject to property tax in the municipality is \$2,700,000 and the equalized value (with no prior corrections) in the municipality is \$3,000,000 then the "assessment level" is said to be 90% (\$2,700,000/\$3,000,000 = .90 or 90%)

 2. The board finds that there was a recent sale of the subject property. a. The sale was an arms-length transaction. b. The sale was representative of the value as of January. c. The board finds that the sale supports the assessment. d. If all answers are 'yes.' 	Yes No No Yes No No Yes No No No
d1. What is the sale price? d2. What if any adjustments, based on the evidence presented, should be considerations as time between the date of sale and the January 1 ass non-market class value in the selling price (ag-use value and fraction classes), and/or other physical changes that occurred to the property date and the January 1 assessment date?	essment date, ally assessed
d3. What is the full taxable value? If responses in 2 through 2c were "yes," upon completion of the section proceed to section check all that apply and determine the assessed value.	n D, Decision,
3. The Board of Review finds that there are recent sales of comparable properties: Yes If yes, answer the following:	No _
Property Owner a. Did the Property Owner present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area? Yes	No
b. If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from the subject and their contribution to value? Yes _	No
c. Did the Assessor present testimony of recent sales of comparable properties in the market area? Yes d. If yes, were the attributes satisfactorily adjusted for their differences from	No
from the subject and their contribution to value? Yes Conclusion e. LIST THE PROPERTIES AND VALUES THAT THE BOARD OF	No
REVIEW RELIES ON TO MAKE ITS DETERMINATION AS TO FAIR MARKET VALUE: Please see exhibit a	

	d of Review finds that the assessment should be based on other factors:	Yes N
	the factors that the Board of Review relies on to make its determination as ket value:	· · ·
What was	the most credible evidence presented:	
:		
DECISION (Motion must be made and seconded)	
1. pursuant to	Motion must be made and seconded) Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion with the Board of Review by majority and roll call vote Wis. Stat. § 70.47(9)(a), the Board of Review by majority and roll call vote Wish State (Mark all that apply below)	hereby

That the proper use values were applied to the agricultural land;

outlined in the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual;

in the Wisconsin Property Assessment Manual;

• That the proper fractional assessments were applied to undeveloped land and agricultural forest land classifications;

That the Assessor presented evidence of the fair market value of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are outlined

That the Assessor presented evidence of the proper classification of the subject property using assessment methods which conform to the statutory requirements and which are

- That the property owner did not present sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption of correctness granted by law to the Assessor;
- That the Assessor's valuation is reasonable in light of all the relevant evidence;
- And sustains the same valuation as set by the Assessor.
- It is not relevant to present assessments of other properties as a basis for the market value of the appeal property (in certain cases).

OR

2	Moves: Exercising its judgment and discretion, pursuant at. § 70.47(9)(a), the Board of Review by majority and roll call vote hereby Seconds. (mark all that apply below)			
to Wis. Stat. § 70.47	(9)(a), the Board of Review :	Seconds, (mark all	that apply below)	
determines			- F1	
• That the Assess	or's valuation is incorrect;	/	. C. vatarosa	
• That the proper granted by law to	ty owner has presented sufficient the Assessor;	ent evidence to rebut the	presumption of correctness	
• That the proper	ty owner's valuation is reason	able in light of the releva	nt evidence;	
/	lue of the property is:	_ /		
Land:		$\overline{}$	75	
Total:				
• That the level of	of assessment of the municipali	ty is at:	%	
And hereby se	ts the new assessment at:			
Land:				
Improvements				
Total:	S			
Tora Cool	idez, Cler	k of the Board of Rev	riew, do hereby certify that	
bers of the Board of	Review voted as follows:	Vac	No	
Name of Board of R	Leview Member:	Yes	110	
Se Hinge			, 	
Notalize C	holen		3 <u></u> 1	
Holly Ma	over		Abstain	
bra Coo	ran	,		
	1			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			27	
to adopt the	ese Findings of Fact, Deter	mination and Decision	on this 🔾 🕺	
day of June	, 202 2.			
	\supseteq	Clerk of Board of	Review	

Page 6

¹ This sample script was originally prepared by John P. Macy of Municipal Law and Litigation Group, S.C., (262)548-1340, and was reviewed and modified by Rick Stadelman of the Wisconsin Towns Association and the Office of Technical & Assessment Services of the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.