# **FAIR HOUSING**

# City of Racine Fair Housing August 2017Activity Report

\*TOTAL # OF INTAKES: 1

\*CALL-INS / WALK-INS: 10

ASSESSED / REFERRED: 16

Dept. of Ag. Trade & Cons. Prot.	4
Dept. of Regulation & Licensing	0
Environmental Health Department	2
Building Department	0
Clerk of Courts (Small Claims)	0
Housing Authority	0
Legal Action of Wisconsin	0
Tenant Resource Center	5
Misc.	1
AA/HRC Complaint Racine	0
HUD <b>Discrimination</b> Referral	2
HUD Nondiscrimination Referral	0
Discrimination ERD Referral	0
Investigated	1
Survey Test	0
Complaint Driven Test	0
Workforce Development Center	0

<sup>\*</sup>All call-ins / walk-ins in the section assessed and referred may be multiple referrals.

# **MEDIA INTERVIEW**: None

# **PRESENTATION:**

• No presentations this month.

# **Investigations Conducted:** 1

<sup>\*</sup>Intakes are specifically related to filing complaints with HUD/ERD.

• Complainant is a disabled, elderly female and lived in Mt. Pleasant Manor, then moved to Mississippi, then came back to Racine. After returning to Racine CP was issued a housing choice voucher. CP applied to live at Mt. Pleasant Manor and was told no. Staff explained that CP would not be able to used housing voucher at Mt. Pleasant Manor and would have to relinquish it if she moved in there. CP felt Mt. Pleasant Manor would not allow her in because of her disability. CP wanted to make a complaint directly to HUD. Staff referred the CP to HUD FHEO.

## FILED (Alleged) COMPLAINT (S) w/HUD or ERD:

No complaints filed.

### **COMPLAINT UPDATE**:

• No updates at this time.

### COMPLAINTS RESOLVED/CLOSED:

No updates at this time

Fair Housing Test Conducted: None

## **SPECIAL NOTES:**

Fair Housing Update:

• NO updates at this time.

#### Landlord Tenant Case:

• CP gave escrow and first month's rent to landlord and was told the apartment would be ready on the 1<sup>st</sup>. The apartment was not ready and the landlord was not fixing the issues in the unit. The CP stated she wanted her money back so she could find a new unit. LL stated he would fix it and he needed her money to do it. CP said no work had been done by the 15<sup>th</sup> and demanded her money back, LL refused and threatened eviction. CP was referred to Legal Action for advice, and to DATCP for help.