

City of Racine, Wisconsin Common Council AGENDA BRIEFGING MEMORANDUM	
AGENDA DATE: February 24, 2020	
DEPARTMENT: City Attorney's Office	
Prepared By: Assistant City Attorney Marisa	L. Roubik
SUBJECT: Communication sponsored by Alder Office, submitting the claim of David K. Popoff fo	
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:	
David K. Popoff filed a claim for reimburs lost revenue due to a supposed loss of tenancy aris on or about November 2, 2019. The contracted p Donner Construction. Therefore, the contractor, damages that resulted from this construction pr recommends that the claim of David K. Popoff be	party performing this construction project was rather than the City, is liable for the alleged oject. As such, the City Attorney's Office
BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:	
The Claimant, David K. Popoff, of 1228 California 91604, claims reimbursement in the am to a supposed loss of tenancy at a rental property he Wisconsin, 53402, due to the reconstruction of Har	e owns located at 308 Hamilton Street, Racine,
On the date in question, Hamilton Streeperformed under contract by Donner Construction. and/or his representative had spoken with employ access to this property on November 2, 2019, whic to be moving in. Donner Construction assured M access to the property at 308 Hamilton Street. How 2019, his purported tenant's moving van was unable and, in turn, the tenant refused to take occupancy	yees of Donner Construction to inquire about h was the date his alleged tenant was supposed Ar. Popoff that his alleged tenant would have vever, Mr. Popoff alleges that, on November 2, e to access the driveway at 308 Hamilton Street

incurring an alleged \$2,107.56 in lost revenue stemming from a lost security deposit, and utility

and snow removal bills that Mr. Popoff—as opposed to his tenant—had to pay during the months
of November and December 2019.

Prior to this November 2, 2019 incident, Mr. Popoff spoke solely with Donner Construction employees to notify them of his need for access on the date at issue, and Donner Construction failed to honor its assurances to Mr. Popoff regarding this access; therefore, Mr. Popoff should file his claim against Donner Construction. After reviewing the circumstances of this claim, the City Attorney's Office contends that the City is not liable for this damage.

In sum, the City Attorney's Office recommends that this Committee disallow the claim of
 David K. Popoff because the City is not liable for alleged damages caused by contracted
 construction crews.

47

48 **BUDGETARY IMPACT:**

Assuming the recommendation to deny this claim is adopted, this item would have a \$0.00impact on the City's budget.

51

52 **OPTIONS/ALTERNATIVES:**

If the recommendation to deny this claim is rejected, and the Common Council directs the
City to pay this claim (contrary to any indication of the City's liability for the alleged damages),
this item would have up to a \$2,107.56 impact on the City's 2020 claims budget.

56

57 **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

58 To approve the disallowance of the claim of David K. Popoff.