## SUBJECT PROPERTY

Facing west


PARCEL NUMBER
ADDRESS
ASSESSED VALUE
STYLE
SIZE
LAND SQ FT
EXTERIOR
YR BUILT
CONDITION

23901000
2308 S Green Bay Rd
$\$ 13,375,000 / \$ 81.46$ sq.ft.
Neighborhood Center
164,201 sq.ft.
779,904 sq.ft.
Masonry
1987
Average

Facing north


## Sales Comparison Approach

## Comparable 1



ADDRESS
SP/SQ FT/TIME ADJUSTED
SALE DATE
STYLE
SIZE
LAND SQ FT EXTERIOR
YR BUILT CONDITION

7201-7255 S 76TH ST, FRANKLIN
\$12,151,000/ \$100.75/ \$110.32
12/28/2016
Neighborhood Center 120,608 sq.ft.
633,362 sq.ft.
Masonry
1992
Average


ADDRESS
SP/SQ FT/TIME ADJUSTED
SALE DATE
STYLE
SIZE
LAND SQ FT
EXTERIOR
YR BUILT
CONDITION

2525-2677 S 108th St, West Allis
\$18,100,000/\$70.17/\$71.39
8/9/2019
Neighborhood Center
257,957 sq.ft.
918,245 sq.ft.
Masonry
1964
Average

## COMPARABLE 3



ADDRESS
SP/SQ FT/TIME ADJUSTED
SALE DATE
STYLE
SIZE
LAND SQ FT
EXTERIOR
YR BUILT
CONDITION

320-380 E Capitol Dr., Milwaukee \$9,000,000/\$85.71/\$87.86
4/29/2019
Neighborhood Center
105,000 sq.ft.
325,955 sq.ft.
Masonry
1988
Average


ADDRESS
SP/SQ FT/TIME ADJUSTED SALE DATE
STYLE
SIZE
LAND SQ FT
EXTERIOR
YR BUILT
CONDITION

7600-7610 W Capitol Dr., Milwaukee
\$7,700,000/\$92.86/\$96.11
1/10/2019
Neighborhood Center
82,917 sq.ft.
284,011 sq.ft.
Masonry
1956
Average

Below is a comp grid for the above sales:

|  | Subject | Comp 1 | Comp 2 | Comp 3 | Comp 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address | 2308-2406S Green Bay Rd | 7201-7255 S 76th St | 2525-2677 S 108th St | 320-380 E Capitol Dr | 7600-7610 W Capitol Dr |
| Notable Tenants | Hobby Lobby, Harbor Freight | Pick N Save, Office Max(darl | Pick N Save, Kohls, Marshalls | Office Max, Ross, Walgreens | Walgreens, Dollar Tree |
| City | Racine | Franklin | West Allis | Milwaukee | Milwaukee |
| 2021 Assessment | \$ 13,375,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 Assessment per Sq Ft | \$ 81.46 |  |  |  |  |
| Sale Price | \$ 12,500,000 | \$ 12,151,000 | \$ 18,100,000 | \$ 9,000,000 | \$ 7,700,000 |
| Sale Date | 2/29/2016 | 12/28/2016 | 8/9/2019 | 4/29/2019 | 1/10/2019 |
| Sq Ft | 164,201 | 120,608 | 257,957 | 105,000 | 82,917 |
| Year Built | 1987 | 1992 | 1964 | 1988 | 1956 |
| Land-Sq Ft | 779,904 | 633,362 | 918,245 | 325,955 | 284,011 |
| Unadjusted SP/Ft | \$ 76.13 | \$ 100.75 | \$ 70.17 | \$ 85.71 | \$ 92.86 |
| Market Adjustment-3\% annually to March 2020 | \$ 9.14 | \$ 9.57 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 2.14 | \$ 3.25 |
| Market Adjusted SP/Ft | \$ 85.26 | \$ 110.32 | \$ 71.39 | \$ 87.86 | \$ 96.11 |
| Comps 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Adjusted SP/Ft-Low | \$ 71.39 |  |  |  |  |
| Market Adjusted SP/Ft-High | \$ 110.32 |  |  |  |  |
| Market Adjusted SP/Ft-Average | \$ 91.42 |  |  |  |  |
| Market Adjusted SP/Ft-Median | \$ 91.99 |  |  |  |  |

Comps 1,2,3 and 4 were selected as most similar to the subject. The sales have been adjusted to February 2020 at $3 \%$ annual appreciation. The selected comps time adjusted average sale price per foot is $\$ 91.42$ and a median of $\$ 91.99$. The subject sold $2 / 29 / 2016$ for $\$ 12,500,000$ and is currently assessed for $\$ 13,375,000$ which s $\$ 81.46$ per foot.

## Conclusion

The comparables listed bracket the subject in square footage, most sales are recent and in similar markets. South Green Bay Road in Racine remains a major shopping destination for most consumers because of the diverse selection of shopping and dining venues available.

When the sales are adjusted to reflect the impact of inflation/appreciation (time adjusted) the sales fully support the current assessment. As of a matter of fact all, except for comp 2, sold for more per foot than subject's per foot assessment. Comp 2 is nearly $60 \%$ larger which drives down the sales price per foot due to economy of scale where larger properties sell for less per foot than smaller ones.

## Income Approach

The income approach is based on the premise that the income stream generated by a property attracts potential buyers in search of solid returns on their monetary investments. As such, that income can be capitalized from market activity to estimate property values. The process involves dividing the net operating income(NOI) by the appropriate capitalization(cap) rate.

A key component of the cap rate is how the property taxes are addressed. The tax burden on the income stream can be part of the operating expenses or as is the case with assessors the taxes are removed from the operating expenses and added to cap rate by increasing ("loading") the base cap rate with the prior year's mill rate.

Since the subject is a triple net leased property (NNN), meaning the tenants are paying many of the typical operating expenses such taxes, maintenance, etc. When the property owner is not paying the taxes it is inappropriate to "load" the cap, since the tax burden is not impacting the property's net operating income.

I have valued the subject based upon property owner submitted Income and expense data for the years 2016-2020. The property owner asserts the 2021 valuation should be based on the most recent year's income which is inconsistent with appraisal practice. Generally, the appraiser will consider the average of the 3 most recent years of data in order to smooth out market volatility.

Considering how the country responded to the Covid 19 virus, the year 2020 is truly an outlier in the history of this country and not indicative of a normal market. While the virus impacted 2020 activity, loosening of restrictions as of late indicate a return to prior days.

Additionally, the property owner's representative attempts to load the cap rate, which as previously discussed is not correct since the subject is a NNN rental where the tenants absorb the tax burden.

In the following chart I have used the property owner income and expense information and simply applied the owner's representative's chosen cap rate of 8.60\%.

My research indicates a $7.2 \%-7.6 \%$ cap rate according to Realty Rates and CoStar as shown below. In addition, contacting local lenders and marke $\dagger$ participants and utilizing the band of investment approach produces a range of $6.8 \%-7.2 \%$ cap rates. The band of investment approach involves assigning a percentage of market interest rates and investor equity rates to arrive at the final overall rate. Local commercial rates range from 3.00-4.5\% and the equity rate is estimated at $20 \%$.

## Retail

The following summarizes market data for anchored neighborhood and community and unanchored strip retail centers nationwide (continental U.S.)

| Re altgRates.com MARKET SURYEY - 1st Quarter 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4the 2020 |  | 3rdQ 2020 |  | \% Change |  |
|  | Anch | Un-Anch | Anch | Un-Anch | Anch | Un-Anch |
| Operating Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asking Rent | \$17.27 | \$16.84 | \$17.54 | \$17.10 | -1.5\% | -1.5\% |
| Effective Rent | \$16.35 | \$15.88 | \$16.60 | \$16.12 | -1.5\% | -1.5\% |
| Other Income | \$0.82 | \$0.79 | \$0.83 | \$0.81 | -1.5\% | -1.5\% |
| Total Income | \$17.17 | \$16.67 | \$17.43 | \$16.93 | -1.5\% | -1.5\% |
| Vacancy Rate | 8.1\% | 7.8\% | 7.8\% | 7.5\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% |
| Effective Gross Income (EGI) | \$15.78 | \$15.37 | \$16.08 | \$15.66 | -1.9\% | -1.8\% |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenses | \$9.79 | \$9.21 | \$9.77 | \$9.19 | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |
| Expense Ratio | 62.06\% | 59.94\% | 60.75\% | 58.67\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% |
| Net Operating Income [ NOI ] | \$5.99 | \$6.16 | \$6.31 | \$6.47 | -5.1\% | -4.9\% |
| Investment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg Sale Price | \$83 | \$84 | \$88 | \$89 | -5.7\% | -5.6\% |
| Overall Cap. Rate [OAR] | 7.2\% | 7.3\% | 7.2\% | 7.2\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% |
| Gross Rent Multiplier [GRM) | 5.09 | 5.31 | 5.31 | 5.54 | -4.3\% | -4.1\% |
| Effective Gross Income Multiplier [EGIM) | 5.27 | 5.49 | 5.49 | 5.70 | -3.9\% | -3.8\% |


| satememantes | Aratap | Anp press |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 7.6\% | \$58 | 13.0\% |

## SALE COMPARABLELOCATIONS



SALE COMPARABLES SUMMARY STATISTICS


Although research indicates a lower cap rate which would increase the opinion of value, I have used the taxpayer submitted income \& expenses and the taxpayer's suggested cap rate. Normalizing(averaging) the net operating income(NOI) for the past three years and capitalizing with $8.6 \%$ cap rate calculates to a rounded $\$ 14,370,000$ valuation. See below spreadsheet.

|  |  |  |  | Regency Point per Ryan LLC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Assessor Worksheet |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Typical Years |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018-2020 Normalized | 2017-2020 Normalized | 2017-2019 | 2017-2018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income |  |  | 2020 | \% of EGI | S/SQFT | 2019 | \% of EGI | \$/SQFT | 2018 | \% of EGI | \$/SQFT | $\underline{2017}$ | \% of EGI | S/SQFT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Rent |  |  | \$ 964,410 | 63.72\% | \$ 5.91 | \$ 1,205,281 | 65.60\% | \$ 7.38 | \$ 1,212,577 | 66.57\% | \$ 7.43 | \$ 1,195,351 | 67.95\% | \$ 7.32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAM reimbursement |  |  | \$ 515,58 | 34.06\% | \$ 3.16 | \$ 631,917 | 34.40\% | \$ 3.87 | \$ 607,296 | 33.34\% | \$ 3.72 | \$ 563,703 | 32.05\% | \$ 3.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  | \$ 33,548 | 2.22\% | \$ 0.21 | \$ . | 0.00\% | \$ | \$ 1,500 | 0.08\% | \$ 0.01 | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Income |  |  | \$ 1,513,516 | 100.00\% | \$ 9.27 | \$ 1,837,198 | 100.00\% | \$ 11.25 | \$ 1,821,373 | 100.00\% | \$ 11.16 | \$ 1,759,054 | 100.00\% | \$ 10.78 |  | \$ 1,724,029 | \$ 1,732,785 | \$ 1,805,875 | \$ 1,790,214 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance |  |  | \$ 32,974 | 2.18\% | \$ 0.20 | \$ 33,111 | 1.80\% | \$ 0.20 | \$ 29,438 | 1.62\% | \$ 0.18 | \$ 24,918 | 1.42\% | \$ 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Fee |  |  | \$ 58,114 | 3.84\% | \$ 0.36 | \$ 74,778 | 4.07\% | \$ 0.46 | \$ 74,531 | 4.09\% | \$ 0.46 | \$ 69,180 | 3.93\% | \$ 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAM |  |  | \$ 231,981 | 15.33\% | \$ 1.42 | \$ 310,191 | 16.88\% | \$ 1.90 | \$ 302,980 | 16.63\% | \$ 1.86 | \$ 250,239 | 14.23\% | \$ 1.53 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities |  |  | \$ 21,221 | 1.40\% | \$ 0.13 | \$ 23,023 | 1.25\% | \$ 0.14 | \$ 23,646 | 1.30\% | \$ 0.14 | \$ 22,210 | 1.26\% | \$ 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-CAM |  |  | \$ 40,792 | 2.70\% | \$ 0.25 | \$ 35,603 | 1.94\% | \$ 0.22 | \$ 15,857 | 0.87\% | \$ 0.10 | \$ 16,988 | 0.97\% | \$ 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative |  |  | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ | \$ | 0.00\% | \$ | \$ 10,557 | 0.58\% | \$ 0.06 | \$ 34,476 | 1.96\% | \$ 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement Reserve |  |  | \$ 48,976 | 3.24\% | \$ 0.30 | \$ 48,976 | 2.67\% | \$ 0.30 | \$ 48,976 | 2.69\% | \$ 0.30 | \$ 48,976 | 2.78\% | \$ 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenses |  |  | \$ 434,058 | 28.68\% | \$ 2.66 | \$ 525,682 | 28.61\% | \$ 3.22 | \$ 505,985 | 27.78\% | \$ 3.10 | \$ 466,986 | 26.55\% | \$ 2.86 |  | \$ 488,575 | \$ 483,178 | \$ 499,551 | \$ 486,486 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Operating Income |  |  | \$ 1,079,458 | 71.32\% | \$ 6.61 | \$ 1,311,516 | 71.39\% | \$ 8.03 | \$ 1,315,388 | 72.22\% | \$8.06 | \$ 1,292,068 | 73.45\% | \$ 7.91 |  | \$ 1,235,454 | \$ 1,249,608 | \$ 1,306,324 | \$ 1,303,728 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unloaded $C$ | Cap Rate | 8.60\% |  |  |  |
| FMV With Cap Rate: | 11.38\% |  | \$ 9,488,910 |  | \$ 58.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 14,365,749 | \$ 14,530,322 | \$ 15,189,815 | \$ 15,159,627 |
| Including Effective Tax Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rounded | 14,370,000 | \$ 14,530,000 | \$ 15,190,000 | \$ 15,160,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average last 3years |  |  | \$ 10,860,183 |  | \$ 66.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupied SQFT |  |  | 132,852 | 81.38\% |  | 134,345 | 82.29\% |  | 158,242 | 96.93\% |  | 160,469 | 98.30\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vacant SQFT |  |  | 30,400 | 18.62\% |  | 28,907 | 17.71\% |  | 5,010 | 3.07\% |  | 2,783 | 1.70\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total SQFT |  |  | 163,252 | 100.00\% |  | 163,252 | 100.00\% |  | 163,252 | 100.00\% |  | 163,252 | 100.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Conclusion

Using the correct cap rate is the key in the income approach, as previously mentioned the subject's tenants pay the property taxes, therefore there is no impact in the net operating income(NOI). USPAP requires appraisers to use techniques that are used by our peers and normalizing(averaging) the income over a number of years is the common approach taken. Depending upon the range selected my opinion of value is between $\$ 14,370,000-\$ 15,190,000$ when using the owner's cap rate and reported income and expenses.

## Reconciliation

The subject is current assessment is $\$ 13,375,000$ with the per foot assessment of $\$ 81.46$. and sold in 2016 for $\$ 12,500,000$.

The sales approach and the selected comparable sales have a median time adjusted sale price of $\$ 91.99$ which applied to the subject produces a value of $\$ 15,100,000$.

The income approach using the average NOI for the 2018-2020 from the property owner and the property owner's cap rate produces a value of \$14,370,000.

The current assessment is fully supported when viewing recent market activity, recent sales (comparable sales approach) and the income approach which represents the investor's perspective when comparing competing properties.

