



1 **City of Racine, Wisconsin**
2 **Common Council**

3 **AGENDA BRIEFING MEMORANDUM**
4

5 **INTRO TO COUNCIL DATE: May 19, 2026**

6 **STANDING COMMITTEE DATE: May 26, 2026**

7 **FINAL ACTION COUNCIL DATE: June 2, 2026**
8

9 **DEPARTMENT: City Attorney's Office**

10 **Prepared By: Deputy City Attorney Marisa L. Roubik**
11

12 **SUBJECT:** Communication sponsored by Alder Land on behalf of the City Attorney's Office submitting
13 the claim of Linda Smith for consideration for disallowance.
14

15 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

16 Linda Smith, by and through her attorney, Charles Polk of Sobo & Sobo Attorneys at Law, filed a
17 claim with the City of Racine requesting \$50,000.00 in un-itemized damages related to allegations that Ms.
18 Smith was at the Racine Transit Center, transferring between two RYDE Racine buses after her shift as a
19 RYDE Racine bus driver, when she purportedly slipped and fell, which caused her to suffer personal
20 injuries. Ms. Smith filed a Worker's Compensation claim with the City for these injuries that occurred in
21 her workplace, and the right to recovery under the Worker's Compensation law is the exclusive remedy for
22 such claims. Therefore, this claim is not actionable as filed. Furthermore, the City denies liability due to
23 a defect in the claim as filed.

24 For these reasons, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that this claim be
25 disallowed.
26

27 **BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:**

28 Linda Smith, of 702 Imperial Drive, Racine, Wisconsin 53402, by and through her attorney, Charles
29 Polk of Sobo & Sobo Attorneys at Law, of One Dolson Avenue, Middletown, New York 10940, filed a
30 claim with the City of Racine requesting \$50,000.00 in un-itemized damages related to allegations that Ms.
31 Smith was at the Racine Transit Center, transferring between two RYDE Racine buses after her shift as a

32 RYDE Racine bus driver, when she purportedly slipped and fell, which caused her to suffer personal
33 injuries.

34 Ms. Smith filed a Worker’s Compensation claim with the City for these injuries that occurred in
35 her workplace, and the right to recovery under the Worker’s Compensation law is the exclusive remedy for
36 such claims. Worker's compensation laws are considered “the grand bargain” “under which workers, in
37 exchange for compensation for work-related injuries regardless of fault, . . . relinquish the right to sue
38 employers and . . . accept smaller but more certain recoveries than might be available in a tort action.”
39 *County of La Crosse v. WERC*, 182 Wis. 2d 15, 30, 513 N.W.2d 579 (1994). Wisconsin’s Worker’s
40 Compensation statutes “shall be the exclusive remedy against the employer.” Wis. Stat. § 102.03(2).
41 Therefore, this claim is not actionable against the City as filed because it is barred by the existence of Ms.
42 Smith’s Worker’s Compensation claim.

43 Furthermore, the claim that the claimant filed with the City on or about February 16, 2026, did not
44 include an itemized statement of the relief sought, as required by law. The claimant requested damages of
45 \$50,000.00; however, the claimant failed to include any itemization of those alleged damages. As such,
46 the claimant did not satisfy the statutory requirements for filing a claim containing an itemized statement
47 of the relief sought, in accordance with Wis. Stat. § 893.80(1d). Therefore, the City denies liability due to
48 a defect in the claim as filed.

49 For these reasons, it is the recommendation of the City Attorney’s Office that this claim be
50 disallowed.

51 _____

52 **BUDGETARY IMPACT:**

53 Assuming the recommendation to disallow this claim is adopted, this item would have a \$0.00
54 impact on the City’s budget.

55 _____

56 **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

57 That the disallowance of this claim be recommended for approval.

58 _____